

## FRCR Consultation Response Proforma

### FRCR Consultation

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm on Friday 4<sup>th</sup> March 2022**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Robert Wilson [Robert.Wilson2@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:Robert.Wilson2@nationalgrideso.com) or [box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com)

Respondent details	Please enter your details
<b>Respondent name:</b>	Andy Vaudin
<b>Company name:</b>	EDF Energy
<b>Email address:</b>	andy.vaudin@edfenergy.com
<b>Phone number:</b>	07580526370

**Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

FRCR Assessment and Methodology Consultation questions		
1	Overall, do you agree that the FRCR represents appropriate development in determining the way that the ESO will balance cost and risk in maintaining security of supply while operating the system?	We agree that the FRCR is an appropriate development, which should enable the ESO to hold reserve, response and inertia at costs appropriate to the assessed risks on the system.
2	Do you agree that the FRCR has been prepared appropriately? Please elaborate.	Agreed that the FRCR has been prepared appropriately against the methodology.
3	To help structure comments, do you agree with and what is your feedback on the specific recommendation in the FRCR?	Please use the boxes below for the bullet points

4	<b>Recommendation:</b> <b>Simultaneous events</b> <i>Continue with the current ESO FRCR 2021 policy and take no further actions to secure additional simultaneous events.</i>	We agree with the recommendation to take no further actions to secure additional simultaneous events. The additional costs to mitigate the further risk of simultaneous events do not represent good value for the end consumer, based on the metrics set out in section 3.5 of this FRCR consultation report.
5	Do you have any suggestions for further areas that can be addressed in future editions of the FRCR?	Other impacts that should be prioritised for inclusion in future reports, include the power quality issue of how smaller frequency deviations impact users, and how often they occur.
6	Do you have any other comments?	None